



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,908	1	12/21/2001	Juha-Pekka Pettersson	324-010771-US(PAR)	5116
2512	7590	09/15/2003	i e		
PERMAN & GREEN			·	EXAMINER	
425 POST I FAIRFIELI		24		NGUYEN, KHIEM M	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2839	
				DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summary	10/029908	<u> </u>	ettersson		
> Unic Action Summary	10/029908 Examiner K, NGU	ا بوسول	Group Art Unit		
	K, NGa	YEN	2839		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet be	eneath the c	orrespondence add	ress—	
P riod for Reply	_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defending to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	i, a reply within the statutory min efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro statute, cause the application t	imum of thirty (om the mailing o o become ABA	30) days will be conside date of this communicati NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1:	red timely. ion. 33).	
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				······································	
☐ This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excacordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 			to the merits is clo	sed in	
Disposition of Claims	·				
Claim(s)		is/are	pending in the applic	ation.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are				
☐ Claim(s)		is/are	- is/are allowed.		
Ø Claim(s)		is/are	rejected.		
□ Claim(s) -22 □ Claim(s) -23 □ Claim(s) □ Cla		is/are is/are	rejected. objected to.		
Claim(s)		is/are are su	objected to. bject to restriction or	election	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or ement	election	
☐ Claim(s)	is □ approved	is/are are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or ement	election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	is/are are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or ement	election	
☐ Claim(s)	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner	is/are are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or ement	election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner	is/are are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or ement	election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d)	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner	is/are unit is/are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or ement	election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	is □ approved bjected to by the Examiner	is/are unit is/are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or ement	election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ Is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	is approved bjected to by the Examiner r.	is/are unit is/are su require	objected to. bject to restriction or ement	election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	is approved bjected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	is/are ure su require disapprov	objected to. bject to restriction or ement red.	election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ Is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	is approved bjected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received.	is/are ure su require disapprov	objected to. bject to restriction or ement red.	election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies Of the Priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies Of the Priority documents have been ☐ Certified copies Of the Priority documents have been ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have been ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have been ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Priority documents have Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Declaration ☐ Certified Copies Of the Declaration ☐ Certified Certified Certified Certified Certified Certified Certified Certified Certified Certif	is approved bjected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application Nents have been received	is/are is/are are su require disapprov	objected to. bject to restriction or ement red.	election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ Is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine ☐ The o	is approved bjected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application Nature to the same to the	is/are is/are are su require disapprov	objected to. bject to restriction or ement red.	election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of t	is approved bjected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application Nature to the same to the	is/are is/are are su require disapprov	objected to. bject to restriction or ement red.	election	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified	is approved bjected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application National Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	is/are is/are are su require disapprov (d).	objected to. bject to restriction or ement red.	election	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are of □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) (d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the priority do	is approved bjected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application Nents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2 r No(s).	is/are is/are are su require disapprov (d).	objected to. bject to restriction or ement red.		
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are of □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies	is approved bjected to by the Examiner r. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a en received. en received in Application Nature have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2 r No(s).	is/are is/are are su require disapproved is approved in a proved is approved in approved is approved in approved is approved in approved in approved is approved in approved in approved in approved in approved is approved in approved i	objected to. bject to restriction or ement red.	_ · on, PTO-15	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. _____

Application/Control Number: 10/029,908 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horii et al. (5,882,220).

Horii et al. discloses an electronic device 12 comprising an accessory connector 108 for connecting accessories to the device, wherein the device comprises a support element 14 which is arranged to support the accessory 10 con connectnected to the accessory connector. The support element comprises fastening elements 47 for fastening the accessory to the support element. The accessory is arranged to be fastened to the support element by sliding. The support element is also arranged to slide in respect to the base part of the device and wherein the support element is arranged detachably in the base part of the device. The support element comprises a locking element 130 for locking the accessory to the support element.

Art Unit: 2839

3. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fullerton (6,108,200) and Lloyd et al. (6,127,802).

Fullerton and Lloyd et al. both disclose electronic devices comprising an accessory connector (20, 113) for connecting accessories to the device, wherein the device comprises a support element (12, 104) which is arranged to support the accessory 10 con connectnected to the accessory connector. It is noted that the support elements of Fullerton and Lloyd et al. are arranged to turn in respect of the base part of the device.

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Loh is further cited to show an electronic device.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Khiem Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1738.

KHIEM NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

K.N.

September 8, 2003